

Multiplicity of closed characteristics on symmetric convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$

Chun-gen Liu*

Department of Mathematics, Nankai University
Tianjin 300071, The People's Republic of China

and

Yiming Long,^{†‡} Chaofeng Zhu[§]

Nankai Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University
Tianjin 300071, The People's Republic of China

Abstract

Let Σ be a compact C^2 hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ bounding a convex set with non-empty interior. In this paper it is proved that there always exist at least n geometrically distinct closed characteristics on Σ if Σ is symmetric with respect to the origin.

1 Introduction and main results.

Our aim in this paper is to study the multiplicity of closed characteristics on any C^2 -convex compact smooth hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ which is symmetric with respect to the origin. Let Σ be a C^2 -compact hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ bounding a convex compact set C with non-empty interior, possess a non-vanishing Gaussian curvature, and $0 \in C$. We denote the set of all such hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ by $\mathcal{H}(2n)$, and the set $\{\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n) \mid \Sigma = -\Sigma\}$ by $\mathcal{SH}(2n)$, where $-\Sigma = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{2n} \mid -x \in \Sigma\}$. For

*Partially supported by NNSF(10071040), Exc. Ph.D. Funds of ME of China, PMC Key Lab of EM of China, and the Hong Kong Qiu Shi Sci. Tech. Foundation.

[†]Partially supported by the 973 Program of STM, NNSF, MCME, RFDP, PMC Key Lab of EM of China, S. S. Chern Foundation, Hong Kong Qiu Shi Sci. Tech. Foundation, and CEC of Tianjin.

[‡]Associate member of ICTP.

[§]Partially supported by the Hong Kong Qiu Shi Sci. Tech. Foundation.

$x \in \Sigma$, let $N_\Sigma(x)$ be the outward unit normal vector at x on Σ . We consider the given energy problem of finding $\tau > 0$ and an absolutely continuous curve $x: [0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= JN_\Sigma(x(t)), & x(t) \in \Sigma, & \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \\ x(\tau) &= x(0), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, I_n is the identity matrix on $\mathbf{R}^n$. When there is no confusion we shall omit the subindex of the identity matrices. A solution (τ, x) of the problem (1) is called a **closed characteristic** on Σ . Two closed characteristics (τ, x) and (σ, y) are **geometrically distinct**, if $x(\mathbf{R}) \neq y(\mathbf{R})$. We denote by $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma)$ the set of all closed characteristics (τ, x) on Σ with τ being the minimal period of x and the set of all geometrically distinct ones respectively. For $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$, we denote by $[(\tau, x)]$ the set of all elements in $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$ which is geometrically the same as (τ, x) . $\#A$ denotes the total number of elements in a set A .

The study on closed characteristics in the global sense started in 1978, when the existence of at least one closed characteristic on any $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$ was first established by P. Rabinowitz in [22] (for star-shaped hypersurfaces) and A. Weinstein in [25] independently. In [6] of I. Ekeland and L. Lassoued, [8] of I. Ekeland and H. Hofer in 1987, and [23] of A. Szulkin in 1988, $\#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) \geq 2$ was proved for any $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$ when $n \geq 2$. In the recent paper [20], Y. Long and C. Zhu proved $\#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ for any $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$, where $[a]$ denotes the greatest integer which is not greater than a . On the other hand, $\#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) \geq n$ was proved by I. Ekeland and J. M. Lasry in [7] of 1980 for $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$ which is $\sqrt{2}$ -pinched, by M. Girardi in [10] of 1984 for $\Sigma \in \mathcal{SH}(2n)$ which is $\sqrt{3}$ -pinched. Other related significant progresses can be found in [24] and [21] for local results, and in [1], [5], and [11] for global results.

A typical example of $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$ is the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E}_n(r)$ defined as follows. Let $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ with $r_k > 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_n(r) = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n} \mid \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|x_k|^2}{r_k^2} = 1\}. \quad (2)$$

If r_j/r_k is irrational whenever $j \neq k$, this $\mathcal{E}_n(r)$ is called a **weakly non-resonant ellipsoid**. In this case, it is well known that there are precisely n geometrically distinct closed characteristics on $\mathcal{E}_n(r)$ (cf. §I.7 of [5]).

A long standing conjecture mentioned by I. Ekeland on Page 235 of [5] is

$$\#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) \geq n, \quad \forall \Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n).$$

Our following main result in this paper gives a positive answer to this conjecture for $\Sigma \in \mathcal{SH}(2n)$.

Theorem 1.1 *For every $\Sigma \in \mathcal{SH}(2n)$, we have*

$$\#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) \geq n. \quad (3)$$

For reader's convenience, we briefly sketch the main idea of the proof below. Fix a $\Sigma \in \mathcal{SH}(2n)$ and suppose $\#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) < +\infty$. Our proof of this theorem is carried out in the following 7 steps.

1° Using the method of I. Ekeland (cf. Section V.3 of [5]), this problem is transformed to a fixed energy problem, and then to a 1-periodic solution problem of a Hamiltonian system, whose Hamiltonian function is defined by $H_\alpha(x) = j_\Sigma(x)^\alpha$ for any $x \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ in terms of the gauge function $j_\Sigma(x)$ of Σ and some $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Solutions of this 1-periodic solution problem correspond to critical points of the Clarke-Ekeland dual action functional $f_\alpha : E_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by (20)-(21) below, and f_α possesses a strictly increasing infinite sequence of critical values $\{c_k\}$ with $c_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. These c_k 's are obtained by the Fadell-Rabinowitz S^1 -cohomological index method as in Section V.3 of [5]. Note that here each $[(\tau, x)] \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma)$ and all of its iterations $\{x^m\}$ yield a strictly increasing infinite subsequence of $\{c_k\}$. Denote the Maslov-type index interval of the m -th iteration of (τ, x) by

$$\mathcal{I}_m(\tau, x) = [i_{m\tau}(x^m), i_{m\tau}(x^m) + \nu_{m\tau}(x^m)].$$

Then $\mathcal{I}_m(\tau, x) \cap \mathcal{I}_{m'}(\tau, x) = \emptyset$ if $m \neq m'$, and $\{i_{m\tau}(x^m)\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence. The union of all the Maslov-type index intervals of all iterations of all the elements in $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma)$ covers the integer set $2\mathbf{N} - 2 + n$, where $\mathbf{N}$ is the set of positive integers. Thus instead of studying the arrangements of the subsequences of $\{c_k\}$ of elements in $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma)$ in the whole sequence $\{c_k\}$, we study how their Maslov-type index interval sequences cover the set $2\mathbf{N} - 2 + n$.

2° Let $\mathcal{O}(x) = x(\mathbf{R})$ for any closed characteristic $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$. Our Lemma 4.2 below shows that every $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$ is either **symmetric**, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(x) = \mathcal{O}(-x)$, or **asymmetric**, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(x) \cap \mathcal{O}(-x) = \emptyset$. Moreover, any symmetric (τ, x) satisfies

$$x(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) = -x(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (4)$$

any asymmetric (τ, x) satisfies

$$(i_{m\tau}(x^m), \nu_\tau(x^m)) = (i_{m\tau}((-x)^m), \nu_\tau((-x)^m)), \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (5)$$

Denote the numbers of symmetric and asymmetric elements in $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma)$ by q_1 and $2q_2$. We can write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) = \{[(\tau_j, x_j)] \mid j = 1, \dots, q_1\} \cup \{[(\tau_k, x_k)], [(\tau_k, -x_k)] \mid k = q_1 + 1, \dots, q_1 + q_2\}. \quad (6)$$

3° Applying the common index jump theorem proved by Y. Long and C. Zhu in [20] (cf. Theorem 4.1 below) to fundamental solutions of the linearized Hamiltonian systems at

$$(\tau_1, x_1), \dots, (\tau_{q_1+q_2}, x_{q_1+q_2}), (2\tau_{q_1+1}, x_{q_1+1}^2), \dots, (2\tau_{q_1+q_2}, x_{q_1+q_2}^2),$$

we obtain an integer N and iteration times $m_1, \dots, m_{q_1+2q_2}$ such that the rather precise information on the Maslov-type indices of iterations of (τ_j, x_j) 's are given in (51)-(58).

4° Combining above 1° and 3° together, by the property of Fadell-Rabinowitz S^1 -cohomology index (cf. Lemma 3.1 below), we obtain an injection map $p : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) \times \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$p(N - s + 1) = ([(\tau_{k(s)}, x_{k(s)})], m(s)), \quad \text{for } s = 1, \dots, n, \quad (7)$$

where $m(s)$ is the iteration time of $(\tau_{k(s)}, x_{k(s)})$ such that

$$2(N - s + 1) - 2 + n \in \mathcal{I}_{m(s)}(\tau_{k(s)}, x_{k(s)}).$$

5° Let

$$S_1 = \{s \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid k(s) \leq q_1\}, \quad S_2 = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus S_1. \quad (8)$$

In Section 4, we prove

$$\# S_1 \leq q_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \# S_2 \leq 2q_2. \quad (9)$$

Then this implies

$$\# \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) = q_1 + 2q_2 \geq \# S_1 + \# S_2 = n.$$

6° To prove the first estimate in (9), using the property (4) of symmetric orbit (τ, x) and precise index information (51)-(58) of iterations of (τ_j, x_j) 's, we conclude that the Maslov-type index, nullity, and splitting numbers of $x|_{[0, \tau]}$ are the same as those of $(x|_{[0, \tau/2]})^2$. Therefore there holds

$$i_\tau(x) + 2S^+(x) - \nu_\tau(x) \geq n.$$

By this estimate, we get that the integer $m(s)$ in (7) is uniquely determined by $k(s)$ there provided $k(s) \leq q_1$. Then the injection map p of (7) induces an injection map from S_1 to $\{[(\tau_j, x_j)] \mid 1 \leq j \leq q_1\}$ and yields the first estimate in (9).

7° To prove the second estimate in (9), using the property (5) of asymmetric orbit (τ, x) and precise index information (51)-(58) of iterations of (τ_j, x_j) 's, we conclude that the integer $m(s)$ in (7) possesses at most two choices determined by the $k(s)$ there, provided $q_1 < k(s) \leq q_1 + q_2$. Then the injection map p of (7) induces a map from S_2 to $\Gamma \equiv \{[(\tau_j, x_j)] \mid q_1 < j \leq q_1 + q_2\}$ such that

any element in Γ is the image of at most two numbers in S_2 . This yields the second estimate in (9), and completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we briefly review the Maslov-type index theory. In §3, we discuss main variational properties of closed characteristics and give details of the above step 1°. In §4, we complete the above steps 2°-6° and prove Theorem 1.1.

2 The Maslov-type index theory and its iteration theory.

2.1 Maslov-type index theory.

In this subsection we give a brief review on the Maslov-type index theory for symplectic matrix paths.

For any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\tau > 0$, we define as usual

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sp}(2n) &= \left\{ M \in \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbf{R}) \mid M^T J M = J \right\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n) &= \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, \tau], \mathrm{Sp}(2n)) \mid \gamma(0) = I \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$, the Maslov-type index of γ is defined to be a pair of integers by C. Conley, E. Zehnder, and Y. Long in the works [4], [18], [12], and [13], which is denoted by

$$(i_\tau(\gamma), \nu_\tau(\gamma)) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2n\},$$

where $\mathbf{Z}$ is the set of all integers. The iteration theory of this Maslov-type index theory was established in [15] by Y. Long via the ω -index theory introduced there. Let $\mathbf{U} \equiv \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. For any $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$, the ω -index of γ is denoted by

$$(i_{\tau, \omega}(\gamma), \nu_{\tau, \omega}(\gamma)) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Note that there hold $i_{\tau, 1}(\gamma) = i_\tau(\gamma)$ and $\nu_{\tau, 1}(\gamma) = \nu_\tau(\gamma)$. The details of the definitions and properties of these index and their iteration theories can be found in the above mentioned works as well as [16] and [17]. We also refer to [19] for another approach.

For $B \in C(\mathbf{R}/(\tau\mathbf{Z}), \mathrm{gl}(2n, \mathbf{C}))$ with $B(t)$ being self-adjoint for all t , we consider the linear Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x} = JB(t)x, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^{2n}. \tag{10}$$

The following proposition gives the relationship between the Maslov-type index theory and the Ekeland index theory.

Proposition 2.1 ([3], Lemma 1.3 of [14], and Theorem 3.2 of [19]) For $B \in C(\mathbf{R}/\tau\mathbf{Z}, \text{gl}(2n, \mathbf{R}))$ with $B(t)$ being positively definite and symmetric for all t , let $\gamma_B \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$ be the fundamental solution of the linear Hamiltonian system (10), $i_\tau^E(\gamma_B)$ and $\nu_\tau^E(\gamma_B)$ be the Ekeland index and nullity given by Definition I.4.3 in [5] with J replaced by that in (1). Then we have

$$i_\tau^E(\gamma_B) = i_\tau(\gamma_B) - n, \quad (11)$$

$$\nu_\tau^E(\gamma_B) = \nu_\tau(\gamma_B). \quad (12)$$

Corollary 2.1 For any positive definite symmetric path $B \in C(\mathbf{R}/(\tau\mathbf{Z}), \text{gl}(2n, \mathbf{R}))$, we have

$$i_\tau(\gamma_B) \geq n. \quad (13)$$

2.2 Bott-type formulae for splitting numbers.

For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\tau > 0$ and a path $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$, we define the **iteration path** $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t - j\tau)\gamma(\tau)^j, \quad \text{for } j\tau \leq t \leq (j+1)\tau \text{ and } j \in \{0\} \cup \mathbf{N}. \quad (14)$$

For $M \in \text{Sp}(2n)$, let $S_M^\pm(\omega)$ be the splitting numbers defined by Theorem 1.3 of [15] for all $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$. Then the following Bott-type formula follows.

Lemma 2.1 For any $M \in \text{Sp}(2n)$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z \in \mathbf{U}$, there holds

$$S_{M^m}^\pm(z) = \sum_{\omega^m=z} S_M^\pm(\omega). \quad (15)$$

Proof. Since $\text{Sp}(2n)$ is path-connected, we can choose a path $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$ with $\gamma(\tau) = M$. Define $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ by $z = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the iteration path of γ defined by (14). By the definition of the splitting numbers and Theorem 1.4 in [15], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{M^m}^+(z) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} i_{m\tau, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta+\epsilon)}}(\tilde{\gamma}) - i_{m\tau, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}}(\tilde{\gamma}) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sum_{k=1}^m i_{\tau, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta+\epsilon+2k\pi)/m}}(\gamma) - \sum_{k=1}^m i_{\tau, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta+2k\pi)/m}}(\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} i_{\tau, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta+\epsilon+2k\pi)/m}}(\gamma) - i_{\tau, e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta+2k\pi)/m}}(\gamma) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\omega^m=z} S_M^+(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$S_{M^m}^-(z) = \sum_{\omega^m=z} S_M^-(\omega).$$

Q.E.D.

The following proposition gives the definition of the mean index.

Proposition 2.2 (Theorem 1.5 of [15]) For any $\tau > 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$, there holds

$$\hat{i}_\tau(\gamma) \equiv \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{i_{k\tau}(\tilde{\gamma})}{k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{U}} i_{\tau,\omega}(\gamma) d\omega. \quad (16)$$

In particular, $\hat{i}_\tau(\gamma)$ is always a finite real number, which is called the **mean index** per τ of γ .

3 Variational properties of closed characteristics.

To solve the given energy problem (1), we follow §V.3 of [5]. Fix a given $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$ bounding a convex compact set C . Let $j_C : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be the gauge function of C defined by

$$j_C(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad j_C(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 \mid \frac{x}{\lambda} \in C\} \quad \text{for } x \neq 0. \quad (17)$$

Fix a constant α satisfying $1 < \alpha < 2$ in this paper. As usual we define the Hamiltonian function $H_{\Sigma,\alpha} : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$H_{\Sigma,\alpha}(x) = j_C(x)^\alpha, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}. \quad (18)$$

Then $H_{\Sigma,\alpha} \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^{2n}, \mathbf{R}) \cap C^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{R})$ is convex and $\Sigma = H_{\Sigma,\alpha}^{-1}(1)$. It is well-known that the problem (1) is equivalent to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) &= JH'_{\Sigma,\alpha}(z(t)), & \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \\ z(1) &= z(0). \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ the set of all solutions (τ, x) of the problem (19) where τ is the minimal period of x , and by $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ the set of all geometrically distinct elements in $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$. Note that elements in $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ are one to one correspondent to each other. The usual dual function $H_{\Sigma,\alpha}^*$ of $H_{\Sigma,\alpha}$ is defined by

$$H_{\Sigma,\alpha}^*(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}} \{(x, y) - H_{\Sigma,\alpha}(y)\},$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the standard inner product of $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. For $1 < \alpha < 2$, let

$$E_\alpha = \{u \in L^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \mid \int_0^1 u dt = 0\}. \quad (20)$$

The Clarke-Ekeland dual action functional $f_\alpha : E_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined by

$$f_\alpha(u) = \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (Ju, \Pi u) + H_{\Sigma,\alpha}^*(-Ju) \right\} dt, \quad (21)$$

where Πu is defined by $\frac{d}{dt} \Pi u = u$ and $\int_0^1 \Pi u dt = 0$. Then $f_\alpha \in C^2(E_\alpha, \mathbf{R})$. Suppose $u \in E_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ is a critical point of f_α . By [5], there exists $\xi_u \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ such that $z_u(t) = \Pi u(t) + \xi_u$ is a 1-periodic

solution of the problem (19). Let $h = H_{\Sigma, \alpha}(z_u(t))$ and $1/m$ be the minimal period of z_u for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Define

$$x_u(t) = h^{-1/\alpha} z_u(h^{(2-\alpha)/\alpha} t) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \frac{1}{m} h^{(\alpha-2)/\alpha}. \quad (22)$$

Then there hold $x_u(t) \in \Sigma$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $(\tau, x_u) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$. Note that the period 1 of z_u corresponds to the period $m\tau$ of the solution $(m\tau, x_u^m)$ of (1) with minimal period τ .

On the other hand, every solution $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ gives rise to a sequence $\{z_m^x\}_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ of solutions of the problem (19), and a sequence $\{u_m^x\}_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ of critical points of f_α defined by

$$z_m^x(t) = (m\tau)^{-1/(2-\alpha)} x(m\tau t), \quad (23)$$

$$u_m^x(t) = (m\tau)^{(\alpha-1)/(2-\alpha)} \dot{x}(m\tau t). \quad (24)$$

For every $m \in \mathbf{N}$ there holds

$$f_\alpha(u_m^x) = -\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2m}{\alpha} A(\tau, x)\right)^{-\alpha/(2-\alpha)}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$A(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau (-J\dot{x} \cdot x) dt. \quad (26)$$

Following §V.3 of [5], we denote by "ind" the S^1 -cohomology index theory for S^1 -invariant subsets of E_α defined in [5] (cf. also [9] of E. Fadell and P. Rabinowitz for the original definition). For $[f_\alpha]_c \equiv \{u \in E_\alpha \mid f_\alpha(u) \leq c\}$ we define

$$c_k = \inf\{c < 0 \mid \text{ind}([f_\alpha]_c) \geq k\}. \quad (27)$$

Then all these c^k 's are critical values of f_α and there hold

$$-\infty < \min_{u \in E_\alpha} f_\alpha(u) = c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \cdots \leq c_k \leq c_{k+1} \leq \cdots < 0, \quad (28)$$

$$c_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (29)$$

By Theorem V.3.4 of [5], for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a function $u_k \in E_\alpha$ such that there hold

$$f'_\alpha(u_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_\alpha(u_k) = c_k, \quad (30)$$

$$i_1^E(u_k) \leq 2k - 2 \leq i_1^E(u_k) + \nu_1^E(u_k) - 1. \quad (31)$$

Based upon this result and Proposition 2.1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (cf. Lemma 3.1 of [20]) Suppose $\#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) < +\infty$, there exist an injection map $p = p(\Sigma, \alpha): \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma, \alpha) \times \mathbf{N}$ such that for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfying $p(k) = ([(\tau, x)], m)$, there hold

$$f'_\alpha(u_m^x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_\alpha(u_m^x) = c_k, \quad (32)$$

$$i_{m\tau}(x^m) \leq 2k - 2 + n \leq i_{m\tau}(x^m) + \nu_{m\tau}(x^m) - 1, \quad (33)$$

where u_m^x is defined by (24).

Lemma 3.2 (cf. Corollary and 3.1 of [20]) Fix $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$ and $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. For any $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$, there hold

$$i_{(m+1)\tau}(x) - i_{m\tau}(x) \geq 2, \quad (34)$$

$$i_{(m+1)\tau}(x) + \nu_{(m+1)\tau}(x) - 1 \geq i_{(m+1)\tau}(x) > i_{m\tau}(x) + \nu_{m\tau}(x) - 1, \quad (35)$$

$$\hat{i}_\tau(x) \geq 2. \quad (36)$$

4 Proof of the main results.

Firstly we recall the common index jump theorem of [20].

Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 4.3 of [20]) Let $\gamma_k \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau_k}(2n)$, $k = 1, \dots, q$ be a finite collection of symplectic paths. Let $M_k = \gamma(\tau_k)$ be the end points and $\tilde{\gamma}_k$ be the iteration path of γ_k defined by (14) for all $k = 1, \dots, q$. Denote by

$$i_k^m = i_{m\tau_k}(\tilde{\gamma}_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_k^m = \nu_{m\tau_k}(\tilde{\gamma}_k).$$

If $\hat{i}_{\tau_k}(x_k) > 0$ for every $k = 1, \dots, q$, there exist infinitely many $(N, m_1, \dots, m_q) \in \mathbf{N}^{q+1}$ such that

$$\nu_k^{2m_k-1} = \nu_k^1, \quad (37)$$

$$\nu_k^{2m_k+1} = \nu_k^1, \quad (38)$$

$$i_k^{2m_k-1} + \nu_k^{2m_k-1} = 2N - (i_k^1 + 2S_{M_k}^+(1) - \nu_k^1), \quad (39)$$

$$i_k^{2m_k+1} = 2N + i_k^1, \quad (40)$$

$$i_k^{2m_k} \geq 2N - n, \quad (41)$$

$$i_k^{2m_k} + \nu_k^{2m_k} \leq 2N + n \quad (42)$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, q$. Moreover, M_k is elliptic if the equality in one of the inequalities of (41) and (42) holds.

We need a lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$ be a symplectic paths. Let $M = \gamma(\tau)$ be the end point and $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the iteration path of γ defined by (14). If $i_\tau(\gamma) \geq n$, we have*

$$i_{2\tau}(\tilde{\gamma}) + 2S_{M^2}^+(1) - \nu_{2\tau}(\tilde{\gamma}) \geq n. \quad (43)$$

Proof. For $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, we define the splitting number $S_{+,M}^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$ by

$$S_{+,M}^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) = \nu_{\tau, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}}(\gamma) - S_M^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}). \quad (44)$$

By Corollary 4.13 of [15], we obtain

$$S_M^+(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) \geq 0. \quad (45)$$

By Lemma 6.3 of [15] and Lemma 4.2 of [19], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} S_M^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) &+ S_{+,M}^-(1) + S_{+,M}^-(-1) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} S_M^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) + \frac{m(1)}{2} - r^-(1) + \frac{m(-1)}{2} - r^-(-1) \\ &\leq \sum_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} q(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) + \frac{m(1)}{2} + \frac{m(-1)}{2} \\ &\leq n, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $q(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$ is the negative Krein number of $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ for M , $m(\pm 1)$ is the total multiplicity of $\pm 1 \in \sigma(M)$, $2r^-(\pm 1)$ is the number of Floquet multipliers which arrive on the unit circle at ± 1 for M .

Note that $S_M^+(\pm 1) = S_M^-(\pm 1)$ and $i_\tau(\gamma) \geq n$. By Theorem 1.4 of [15] and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_{2\tau}(\tilde{\gamma}) + 2S_{M^2}^+(1) - \nu_{2\tau}(\tilde{\gamma}) &= i_\tau(\gamma) + i_{\tau,-1}(\gamma) + 2S_M^+(1) + 2S_M^+(-1) - \nu_\tau(\gamma) - \nu_{\tau,-1}(\gamma) \\ &= 2i_\tau(\gamma) + S_M^+(1) + \sum_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} \left(S_M^+(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) - S_M^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) \right) - S_M^+(-1) \\ &\quad + 2S_M^+(1) + 2S_M^+(-1) - \nu_\tau(\gamma) - \nu_{\tau,-1}(\gamma) \\ &= 2i_\tau(\gamma) + 2S_M^+(1) + \sum_{\theta \in (0, \pi)} \left(S_M^+(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) - S_M^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) \right) \\ &\quad - S_{+,M}^-(1) - S_{+,M}^-(-1) \\ &\geq 2n - n \\ &= n. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Now we can give the proof of our main result. Fix $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$ and $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. For any $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$, let $\gamma_x \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$ be the fundamental solution of the linearized system

$$\dot{y}(t) = JH''_{\Sigma, \alpha}(x(t))y(t).$$

We call γ_x the associated symplectic path of (τ, x) . Then the Maslov-type index $(i_\tau(x), \nu_\tau(x))$ and the splitting number $S^+(x)$ of x at 1 are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} i_\tau(x) &= i_\tau(\gamma), & \nu_\tau(x) &= \nu_\tau(\gamma), \\ S^+(x) &= S^+_{\gamma(\tau)}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2 *Fix $\Sigma \in \mathcal{SH}(2n)$. For any $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$, there holds $(\tau, -x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$, and either $\mathcal{O}(x) = \mathcal{O}(-x)$ or $\mathcal{O}(x) \cap \mathcal{O}(-x) = \emptyset$. If $\mathcal{O}(x) \cap \mathcal{O}(-x) \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$x(t) = -x(t + \frac{\tau}{2}), \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Proof. Since $\Sigma = -\Sigma$, there hold

$$H_{\Sigma, \alpha}(x) = H_{\Sigma, \alpha}(-x), \tag{47}$$

$$H'_{\Sigma, \alpha}(x) = -H'_{\Sigma, \alpha}(-x), \tag{48}$$

$$H''_{\Sigma, \alpha}(x) = H''_{\Sigma, \alpha}(-x). \tag{49}$$

So for any $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$, there holds $(\tau, -x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$.

If $\mathcal{O}(x) \cap \mathcal{O}(-x) \neq \emptyset$, there exist $s_1, s_2 \in [0, \tau]$ such that $x(s_1) = -x(s_2)$. By the fact $x(\tau) = x(0) \neq 0$ and $x(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $s_2 - s_1 \neq 0, \pm\tau$. Since $x(s_1 + t)$ and $-x(s_2 + t)$ satisfies the same system

$$\dot{y} = JH'_{\Sigma, \alpha}(y),$$

we have $x(s_1 + t) = -x(s_2 + t)$ and hence $x(t) = x(2(s_2 - s_1) + t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Since τ is the minimal period of x , we have $2(s_2 - s_1) = \pm\tau$. Therefore $x(t) = -x(t + \frac{\tau}{2})$. Specially there holds $\mathcal{O}(x) = \mathcal{O}(-x)$. Q.E.D.

From $x(t) = -x(t + \frac{\tau}{2})$ we obtain $H''_{\Sigma, \alpha}(x(t)) = H''_{\Sigma, \alpha}(x(t + \frac{\tau}{2}))$. Let γ_x be the associated symplectic path of (τ, x) . Then we have

$$\gamma_x(t + \frac{\tau}{2}) = \gamma_x(t)\gamma_x(\frac{\tau}{2}), \quad \forall t \in [0, \frac{\tau}{2}]. \tag{50}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 It suffices to consider the case $\#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) < +\infty$. By (47) and (48), $(\tau, -x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ if $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$. Note that we have

$$(i_{m\tau_k}(x_k^m), \nu_{m\tau_k}(x_k^m)) = (i_{m\tau_k}(-x_k^m), \nu_{m\tau_k}(-x_k^m)), \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By Lemma 4.2, we denote the elements in $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma, \alpha) = \{[(\tau_j, x_j)] | j = 1, \dots, q_1\} \cup \{[(\tau_k, x_k)], [(\tau_k, -x_k)] | k = q_1 + 1, \dots, q_1 + q_2\},$$

where $\mathcal{O}(x_j) = \mathcal{O}(-x_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, q_1$, and $\mathcal{O}(x_k) \cap \mathcal{O}(-x_k) = \emptyset$ for $k = q_1 + 1, \dots, q_1 + q_2$. By Lemma 3.1, we get an injection map $p = p(\Sigma, \alpha): \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma, \alpha) \times \mathbf{N}$. Note that (τ_k, x_k) and $(\tau_k, -x_k)$ have the same index intervals. Thus by Lemma 3.1 we can further require that

$$\text{im}(p) \subset \{[(\tau_k, x_k)] | k = 1, \dots, q_1 + q_2\} \times \mathbf{N}.$$

Set $i(k, m) = i_{m\tau_k}(x_k^m)$ and $\nu(k, m) = \nu_{m\tau_k}(x_k^m)$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $\hat{i}_{\tau_k}(x_k) \geq 2$ for $k = 1, \dots, q_1 + q_2$. Applying Theorem 4.1 to the associated symplectic path of

$$(\tau_1, x_1), \dots, (\tau_{q_1+q_2}, x_{q_1+q_2}), (2\tau_{q_1+1}, x_{q_1+1}^2), \dots, (2\tau_{q_1+q_2}, x_{q_1+q_2}^2),$$

we get infinitely many $(N, m_1, \dots, m_{q_1+2q_2}) \in \mathbf{N}^{q_1+2q_2+1}$ such that

$$i(k, 2m_k + 1) = 2N + i(k, 1), \quad (51)$$

$$i(k, 2m_k - 1) + \nu(k, 2m_k - 1) = 2N - (i(k, 1) + 2S^+(x_k) - \nu(k, 1)), \quad (52)$$

$$i(k, 2m_k) \geq 2N - n, \quad (53)$$

$$i(k, 2m_k) + \nu(k, 2m_k) \leq 2N + n \quad (54)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, q_1 + q_2$ and

$$i(k - q_2, 4m_k + 2) = 2N + i(k - q_2, 2), \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} i(k - q_2, 4m_k - 2) + \nu(k - q_2, 4m_k - 2) \\ = 2N - (i(k - q_2, 2) + 2S^+(x_{k-q_2}^2) - \nu(k - q_2, 2)), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$i(k - q_2, 4m_k) \geq 2N - n, \quad (57)$$

$$i(k - q_2, 4m_k) + \nu(k - q_2, 4m_k) \leq 2N + n \quad (58)$$

for $k = q_1 + q_2 + 1, \dots, q_1 + 2q_2$.

By (53), (56) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i(k, 2m_k) &\geq 2N - n \\ &\geq 2N - (i(k, 2) + 2S^+(x_k^2) - \nu(k, 2)), \\ &= i(k, 4m_{k+q_2} - 2) + \nu(k, 4m_{k+q_2} - 2) \\ &> i(k, 4m_{k+q_2} - 2). \end{aligned}$$

By (54), (55) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i(k, 2m_k) &< i(k, 2m_k) + \nu(k, 2m_k) \\
&\leq 2N + n \\
&\leq 2N + i(k, 2) \\
&= i(k, 4m_{k+q_2} + 2)
\end{aligned}$$

for $k = q_1 + 1, \dots, q_1 + q_2$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $4m_{k+q_2} - 2 < 2m_k < 4m_{k+q_2} + 2$. Thus

$$m_k = 2m_{k+q_2}, \quad \forall k = q_1 + 1, \dots, q_1 + q_2. \quad (59)$$

Denote by $([(\tau_{k(s)}, x_{k(s)})], m(s)) = p(N - s + 1)$, where $s = 1, \dots, n$, $k(s) \in \{1, \dots, q_1 + q_2\}$ and $m(s) \in \mathbf{N}$. By the definition of p and (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i(k(s), m(s)) &\leq 2(N - s + 1) - 2 + n = 2N - 2s + n \\
&\leq i(k(s), m(s)) + \nu(k(s), m(s)) - 1.
\end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i(k(s), m(s)) &\leq 2N - 2s + n \\
&< 2N + n \\
&\leq 2N + i(k(s), 1) \\
&= i(k(s), 2m_{k(s)} + 1),
\end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

for every $s = 1, \dots, n$, where we have used (51) in the last equality. When $k(s) \leq q_1$, by Lemma 4.1, (50), and (52), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i(k(s), 2m_{k(s)} - 1) + \nu(k(s), 2m_{k(s)} - 1) &= 2N - (i(k(s), 1) + 2S^+(x_{k(s)}) - \nu(k(s), 1)) \\
&\leq 2N - n \\
&\leq 2N - 2s + n \\
&\leq i(k(s), m(s)) + \nu(k(s), m(s)) - 1.
\end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

When $q_1 < k(s) \leq q_1 + q_2$, by (59) we have $m_{k(s)} = 2m_{k(s)+q_2}$. Then by (56), Lemma 4.1, and (60), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
i(k(s), 2m_{k(s)} - 2) + \nu(k(s), 2m_{k(s)} - 2) &= 2N - (i(k(s), 2) + 2S^+(x_{k(s)}^2) - \nu(k(s), 2)) \\
&\leq 2N - n \\
&\leq 2N - 2s + n \\
&\leq i(k(s), m(s)) + \nu(k(s), m(s)) - 1.
\end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

By Lemma 3.2, (61) and (62) we have $2m_{k(s)} - 1 < m(s) < 2m_{k(s)} + 1$ for $k(s) \leq q_1$. By Lemma 3.2, (61) and (63) we have $2m_{k(s)} - 2 < m(s) < 2m_{k(s)} + 1$ for $q_1 < k(s) \leq q_1 + q_2$. Hence

$$m(s) = 2m_{k(s)}, \quad \text{if } k(s) \leq q_1 \quad (64)$$

$$m(s) \in \{2m_{k(s)} - 1, 2m_{k(s)}\} \quad \text{if } q_1 < k(s) \leq q_1 + q_2. \quad (65)$$

Since the map p is injective, if there exist $s_1 \neq s_2$ such that $k(s_1) = k(s_2) \leq q_1$, we must have $m(s_1) \neq m(s_2)$. Thus $m_{k(s_1)} \neq m_{k(s_2)}$ by (64). This contradicts to $k(s_1) = k(s_2)$. Similarly, if there exist $s_1 \neq s_2$ such that $k(s_1) = k(s_2) > q_1$, by the above arguments, we must have $m(s_1) \neq m(s_2)$ and $\{m(s_1), m(s_2)\} = \{2m_{k(s_1)} - 1, 2m_{k(s_1)}\}$. So there hold

$$\#\{s \in \{1, \dots, n\} | k(s) \leq q_1\} \leq q_1$$

and

$$\#\{s \in \{1, \dots, n\} | k(s) > q_1\} \leq 2q_2.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma) &= \#\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma, \alpha) \\ &= q_1 + 2q_2 \\ &\geq n. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof.

Q.E.D.

References

- [1] H. Berestycki, J. M. Lasry, G. Mancini and B. Ruf, Existence of multiple periodic orbits on starshaped Hamiltonian systems. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 38. (1985). 253-289.
- [2] R. Bott, On the iteration of closed geodesics and the Sturm intersection theory. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 9.(1956). 171-206.
- [3] V. Brouseau, L'index d'un système hamiltonien linéaire. *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, t.303. Série 1. (1986). 351-354.
- [4] C. Conley and E. Zehnder, Morse-type index theory for flows and periodic solutions for Hamiltonian equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 37.(1984). 207-253.
- [5] I. Ekeland, Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics. Springer. Berlin. (1990).

- [6] I. Ekeland and H. Hofer, Convex Hamiltonian energy surfaces and their closed trajectories. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 113 (1987), 419-467.
- [7] I. Ekeland and L. M. Lasry, On the number of periodic trajectories for a Hamiltonian flow on a convex energy surface. *Ann. of Math.* 112. (1980). 283-319.
- [8] I. Ekeland and L. Lassoued, Multiplicité des trajectoires formées d'un système hamiltonian sur une hypersurface d'énergie convexe. *Ann. INP. Analyse non linéaire.* 4 (1987), 1-29.
- [9] E. Fadell and P. Rabinowitz, Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems. *Invent. Math.* 45. (1978) 139-174.
- [10] M. Girardi, Multiple orbits for Hamiltonian systems on starshaped surfaces with symmetries. *Ann. INP. Analyse non linéaire.* 1. (1984). 285-294.
- [11] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder, The dynamics on three-dimensional strictly convex energy surfaces. *Ann. of Math.* 148 (1998) 197-289.
- [12] Y. Long, Maslov-type index, degenerate critical points, and asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems. *Science in China (Scientia Sinica). Series A.* 7. (1990). 673-682. (Chinese edition), 33. (1990). 1409-1419. (English edition).
- [13] Y. Long, A Maslov-type index theory for symplectic paths. *Top. Meth. Nonl. Anal.* 10 (1997) 47-78.
- [14] Y. Long, Hyperbolic closed characteristics on compact convex smooth hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. *J. Diff. Equa.* 150 (1998), 227-249.
- [15] Y. Long, Bott formula of the Maslov-type index theory. Research Report. Nankai Inst. of Math. Nankai Univ. (1995). *Pacific J. Math.* 187 (1999), 113-149.
- [16] Y. Long, Precise iteration formulae of the Maslov-type index theory and ellipticity of closed characteristics. *Advances in Math.* 154 (2000) 76-131.
- [17] Y. Long, The Maslov-type index and its iteration theory with applications to Hamiltonian systems. *Third School on Nonlinear Analysis and Applications to Differential Equations. (10.12-30,1998). ICTP Lecture Notes. SMR 1071/2. . Minimax Theory, Morse Theory and the Applications to Differential Equations.* H. Brezis, S. Li, J.-Q. Liu, P. Rabinowitz ed. International Press. to appear.

- [18] Y. Long and E. Zehnder, Morse theory for forced oscillations of asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems. In *Stoc. Proc. Phys. and Geom.*, S. Albeverio et al. ed. World Sci. (1990). 528-563.
- [19] Y. Long and C. Zhu, Maslov-type index theory for symplectic paths and spectral flow (II). *Chinese Ann. of Math.* 21 B: 1 (2000) 89-108.
- [20] Y. Long and C. Zhu, Closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. Nankai Inst. of Math. Nankai Univ. Preprint. (1999). Revised March 2001. *Annals of Math.* to appear.
- [21] J. K. Moser, Periodic orbits near an equilibrium and a theorem by A. Weinstein. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 29 (1976), 727-747.
- [22] P. Rabinowitz, Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 31. (1978). 157-184.
- [23] A. Szulkin, Morse theory and existence of periodic solutions of convex Hamiltonian systems. *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* 116 (1988), 171-197.
- [24] A. Weinstein, Normal modes for nonlinear Hamiltonian systems. *Inven. Math.* 20. (1973). 47-57.
- [25] A. Weinstein, Periodic orbits for convex Hamiltonian systems. *Ann. of Math.* 108. (1978). 507-518.

First submitted May 1999, Revised April 2001.